

1 **Residential Speed Limit Reduction Case Studies**

2
3 **Ginger M. Rossy**

4 PhD Candidate

5 Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

6 University of Missouri

7 E2426 Laferre Hall, Columbia, MO 65211

8 Phone (573) 355-2004, Fax (573) 882-4784

9 gmr28@mail.mizzou.edu

10
11 **Carlos C. Sun**

12 Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering

13 University of Missouri

14 E2509 Laferre Hall, Columbia, MO 65211

15 Phone (573) 884-8330, Fax (573) 882-4784

16 csun@missouri.edu

17
18 **Dan Jessen**

19 City of Springfield Department of Public Works

20 Springfield, MO 65802

21 Phone (417) 864-1000

Djessen@springfieldmo.gov

22
23 **Earl Newman**

24 City of Springfield Department of Public Works

25 Springfield, MO 65802

26 Phone (417) 864-1000

27 ENewman@springfieldmo.gov

28
29
30
31 **KEYWORDS**

32
33 Speed Limit, Residential Street, Speed Study, Pace Car

34
35 **Word Count = 3589 (text) + 1000 (4 figures and tables) = 4589 words**

36
37 **Corresponding Author: Carlos C. Sun**

38
39 **ABSTRACT**

40
41 Speeding on residential/neighborhood streets is a common citizen complaint to city councils, but
42 it is not a national research priority because such streets are low volume and low speed.

43 Previous research on the effects of lowering speed limits has been limited mostly to high-
44 volume, high-speed roads. On such facilities, studies indicated that a reduction in speed was not
45 commonly attained by reducing the posted speed limits alone. In contrast, residential studies in
46 Springfield and Columbia, Missouri, found that statistically significant speed reductions were

1 achieved by reducing the speed limit from 30 mph (48 kph) to 25 mph (40 kph). The engineering
2 studies were used by each City to guide their decisions to lower residential speed limits citywide.

3 **INTRODUCTION**

4
5 In 2008, the Fatality Analysis Reporting System Encyclopedia (1) reported 34,017 fatal crashes
6 in the United States. Only 10 percent (10%) of these occurred in areas where the posted speed
7 limit was 30 miles per hour (mph) (48 kilometers per hour) or lower. The large percentage of
8 fatal crashes that occur at speeds higher than 30 mph (48 kph) is one explanation for the
9 relatively large amount of research performed on higher speed roads and the correspondingly
10 small amount of research on lower speed roads. However, local road miles account for 68.6% of
11 the total road mileage in the United States and 13.4% of the total travel (2). Local roads include
12 residential streets, and city councils across the United States commonly receive complaints about
13 speeding in neighborhoods (3). It can be argued that speeds above the posted speed limits in
14 residential areas create numerous other problems. As an example, the Federal Highway
15 Administration created the Safe Routes to School (SRTS) Program (4) to investigate the reasons
16 why less than 20 % of the children in the United States walk to school and only 6% ride bicycles.
17 Traffic danger was cited as the second worst obstacle to children walking and bicycling to school
18 (40 % of the surveyed population), preceded only by the distance to school (5).

19 The Transport Research Laboratory estimated that each one mph reduction in average
20 traffic speed provided a reduction of 6% in vehicle accidents for urban main roads and
21 residential roads with low average speeds (6). For the 2008 FARS data presented previously,
22 this could represent a decrease of 204 fatal crashes per year. The question is: *Can average*
23 *speeds be lowered in residential areas by simply lowering the posted speed limits?*

24 Previous research showed that in rural and urban highways with posted speed limits
25 between 20 and 55 mph (32 to 88.5 kph), there was less than a 1.5 mph (2.4 kph) average change
26 in speeds when speed limits were reduced. These average changes were not statistically
27 significant to the 95th percentile confidence level (7). Surveys from the speed limit reduction
28 efforts of various cities suggested that lowering the posted speed limits was not enough to
29 modify drivers' behaviors (3). Other measures like road modification, police enforcement and
30 educational campaigns must also be implemented to effectively change driver behavior.

31 This article presents the results from two independent studies in Missouri: one performed
32 by the City of Springfield Public Works and the other by the University of Missouri in
33 Columbia. In both cities, the posted speed limit was 30 mph (48 kph) and local residents
34 requested a reduction to 25 mph speed limit (40 kph). In both cities, pilot projects were
35 performed in selected neighborhoods to determine if, by lowering posted speed limits, average
36 and 85th percentile speeds could be effectively lowered. This was evaluated by comparing
37 average speeds before and after the posted speed limit reduction. Even though "residential street"
38 does not have a precise engineering definition, in this study it refers to streets located within the
39 boundaries of a residential neighborhood and with low volumes (< 1000 ADT), low speeds, and
40 high residential density.

41 42 **THE SPRINGFIELD, MO PILOT PROJECT**

43
44 In 2005 over 180 residents from the Rountree [sic] neighborhood in Springfield, Missouri signed
45 a petition requesting the reduction in posted speed limit in their neighborhood from 30 mph (48
46 kph) to 25 mph (40 kph) (8). The City of Springfield Traffic Engineering office included the

Rossy, G., Sun, C., Jessen, D. and Newman, E.

1 following two major components into the speed limit reduction pilot project. First, some of the
 2 new 25 mph (40 kph) speed limit sign would be deployed in oversized signs, each including a
 3 positive safety message such as “Kid Friendly”, “Set the Pace” or “Respect the Limit”. Also
 4 each sign would have an attention-attracting yellow border (e.g. Figure 1) around a standard
 5 speed limit sign. These special signs would be placed only at the entrances to the neighborhood.
 6 In two locations within the neighborhood, the conventional road Manual of Uniform Traffic
 7 Control Devices (MUTCD) (9) speed limit signs were moved from the standard side of the road
 8 mounting to temporary islands in the middle of the road. This would make the signs more
 9 visible to all road users on streets of ½ mile length without stop sign control.



10
 11 **FIGURE 1 Example of oversized speed limit signs used on both pilot projects.**
 12

13 The second component of the pilot project consisted of an educational campaign known
 14 as the Pace Car Program. The Pace Car Program was modeled after a similar program developed
 15 in Salt Lake City, Utah. Under this program, residents of the Rountree neighborhood would sign
 16 a pledge to drive within the speed limit and become a Pace Car driver to set an example for other
 17 motorists to follow. The purposes of the program included persuading drivers to reduce speed,
 18 promote courteous driving habits, and raise the awareness that residential streets must be shared
 19 between vehicles, bicycles and pedestrians. Only streets functionally classified as “local” would
 20 receive the 5 mph (8 kph) posted speed limit reduction. The Springfield City Council approved
 21 the pilot project in September, 2005 and research was performed from October, 2005 to October,
 22 2006.

23 **Methodology**

24
 25
 26 The Springfield pilot project consisted in collecting speed and volume data at two separate
 27 locations on each of five roads that cross the Rountree neighborhood from north to south. The
 28 first data collection occurred on October 2005, before the speed limits were reduced to 25 mph
 29 (40 kph). The 25 mph (40 kph) speed limit reduction occurred on November 3, 2005. Speed and
 30 volume readings were collected once per month at the same locations. Each reading consisted of
 31 48 hours of continuous speed and volume readings. All of the data was collected on Tuesdays
 32 and Wednesdays as is typical of traffic studies.

33 Three of the roads where data was collected, Kickapoo, Weller and Pickwick, were
 34 classified as residential. The other two roads, Fremont and Delaware avenues, were classified

Rosy, G., Sun, C., Jessen, D. and Newman, E.

1 as collectors, therefore, speeds were not lowered to 25 mph (40 kph) on these roads. No special
2 enforcement other than the Pace Car program was implemented in the area during the study.

3 4 **Results**

5
6 Average speeds and standard deviations for the beginning and end of the one year period were
7 compared and tested statistically to determine if average speeds had been lowered as a result of
8 posting the reduced speed limit and the implementation of the Pace Car program. Table 1 shows
9 a summary of the statistical data analysis. The Average Speed Difference column reflects the
10 total difference in average speeds between the data collected on October, 2005 and October
11 2006. A positive value in this column represents a decrease in average speed. The independent
12 heteroscedastic t test was used to assess the statistical significance of the difference in average
13 speeds. The t test is based on the premise that under certain conditions the t statistic computed
14 from two samples acquired from two independent processes follows a Student's t distribution
15 (10). The p value shown in Table 1 is calculated using the Student t distribution and, typically, a
16 p-value of less than 0.05 (i.e. 5% significance level, or 95% confidence level) is considered to be
17 statistically significant. Also shown in Table 1, is the difference in 85th percentile speeds and the
18 percent (%) change in observed average speeds.

19 Table 1 shows that all of the roads where the speed limit reduction occurred (Kickapoo,
20 Pickwick and Weller streets) experienced a reduction in average speed. The average speed
21 reduction ranged between 0.41 mph (0.66 kph) and 4.02 mph (6.47 kph) or 1.6% and 13.5%, and
22 all p values showed the reductions were statistically significant. Evaluation of the experimental
23 data yielded minimal changes in variance, which suggested uniform driver population
24 compliance to the new speed limit. The benefits of reducing speed limits on residential streets
25 were carried over to the collector streets around the Rountree neighborhood. Table 1 shows five
26 of the eight data sites on Delaware and Freemont avenues, where the posted speed limit remained
27 at 30 mph (48 kph), also experienced reductions in average speeds ranging between 0.29 and
28 1.18 mph (0.47 and 1.90 kph). There were three locations where the speeds increased but those
29 increases were not statistically significant.

30 31 **THE COLUMBIA, MO PILOT PROJECT**

32
33 In 2008, the City Council of Columbia, MO cited high speeds in residential areas as the number
34 one complaint from Columbia residents (3). As a result, a study was conducted to investigate the
35 effects of a posted speed limit reduction from 30 mph to 25 mph. This study incorporated the
36 experiences from the Springfield study. A pilot speed reduction project was conducted in two
37 neighborhoods: Rothwell Heights and Shepard Boulevard.

38 39 **Methodology**

40
41 The Shepard study had three stages: (1) baseline or no treatments, (2) reduced speed limit signs
42 and (3) additional educational campaign. The Rothwell study had only the first two stages. The
43 first stage consisted in collecting speed and volume data from two streets in each neighborhood
44 before changing the posted speed limits. Each data set consisted of 48 hours of continuous data
45 collected on Tuesdays, Wednesdays and/or Thursdays. The data was collected using magnetic

1 traffic detectors. The first data set was collected in Rothwell Heights on October, 2008 and the
2 second in Shepard Boulevard also in October, 2008.

3 For the second stage, the new speed limit signs installed in Rothwell Heights were simple
4 and complied to the specifications of the MUTCD. The new speed limit signs installed in the
5 Shepard Boulevard neighborhood were oversized and had a yellow border similar to the ones
6 used in the Springfield, MO pilot project (Figure 1). Similar to stage one, 48 hours of continuous
7 data were collected on the same two streets in February, 2009 in Rothwell Heights and on March
8 2009 in Shepard Boulevard.

9 The last stage consisted in determining if an educational campaign would provide further
10 reduction in average speeds, even if a speed reduction had already occurred during stage two of
11 the methodology. A local pedestrian and bicycling advocacy group, PedNet, administered the
12 educational campaign in the Shepard Boulevard neighborhood. The Executive Director of
13 PedNet gave a presentation on the importance of reducing speed limits in residential areas at the
14 neighborhood association and parent teacher association meetings. During the two meetings, the
15 residents were encouraged to sign a pledge similar to the Pace Car pledge from the Springfield,
16 MO pilot project. Volunteers from PedNet also went door to door in the neighborhood to try and
17 reach the residents that had not attended the two meetings. No educational campaign was
18 administered for the Rothwell Heights neighborhood.

19 A resident survey of the reduced speed limit was administered since city councils were
20 interested in residents' perceptions in addition to the engineering effectiveness. The survey was
21 distributed after data collection was completed. A high sampling rate of around twenty-five
22 percent and forty percent of the households for each neighborhood was achieved by canvassing
23 the neighborhoods door-to-door and by distributing the surveys during homeowner association
24 meetings and school open houses. The sample size was forty from Rothwell Heights and forty-
25 two from Shepard Boulevard.

26 27 **Results**

28
29 Table 2 shows a summary of the statistical tests performed in the two neighborhoods in
30 Columbia. The parameters used and statistical tests are the same as those performed for the data
31 collected in the Springfield pilot project. The t test was also used to assess the statistical
32 significance of the difference in average speeds. Table 2 shows all of the streets experienced
33 statistically significant reductions in average speed. One potential challenge with the data from
34 Audubon Street was that the data was collected near an elementary school where queuing
35 occurred. Thus the congestion near the school might have naturally constrained speed before the
36 speed limit was reduced.

37 The last two rows in Table 2 show the summary of the results from the data obtained
38 after performing the educational campaign in the Shepard neighborhood. Table 2 shows there
39 was a minimal reduction in average speeds on Audubon Street (0.67 mph/1.08 kph average speed
40 reduction) that proved to be statistically significant. Although there was a higher reduction in
41 average speed on Falcon Street, it was not statistically significant.

42 Further evaluation of the statistical analysis performed on data collected in the Columbia
43 neighborhoods showed higher variations in standard deviations than those obtained using the
44 Springfield data. For the Springfield data, the standard deviation for each of the original data
45 sets was within the range of 0.81 to 2.35 mph (1.30 to 3.78 kph). For the Columbia data sets the
46 standard deviations ranged within 5.03 and 10.26 mph (8.10 to 16.51 kph).

Rosy, G., Sun, C., Jessen, D. and Newman, E.

1 A summary of the answers to the surveys on residents' perception of safety, related to the
2 speed limit reduction, is presented in Table 3. Not all the questions asked on the survey are
3 presented in the summary. There was a significant difference between the two neighborhoods in
4 terms of the awareness of speed limit reduction despite the fact that all speed limit signs entering
5 a neighborhood were changed. This difference can be attributed to the educational campaign
6 conducted at Shepard and not at Rothwell, to the oversized signs employed at Shepard and
7 perhaps to the difference in the duration of residency. It is interesting to note that a significant
8 percentage of residents believes that most vehicles are speeding through the neighborhoods. In
9 both neighborhoods the largest percentage of answers indicates that the reduction in speed limits
10 will not influence their decision to walk or ride bicycles more frequently around the
11 neighborhood. However, in the Shepard Boulevard neighborhood, residents felt safer walking
12 and riding bicycles on neighborhood streets.

13 14 **CONCLUSION**

15
16 The results from pilot projects in Springfield and Columbia, Missouri, showed that in all of the
17 streets where the posted speed limit was reduced from 30 mph (48 kph) to 25 mph (40 kph) there
18 was a statistically significant reduction in average speeds. In Springfield, a spillover effect was
19 observed where speed reductions resulted on adjacent streets where the speed limit was not
20 reduced.

21 The three stage experiment performed in the City of Columbia showed that both the use
22 of conventional and special (oversized and attention attracting) signs produced decreases in
23 average speeds. Residents of the neighborhood where the special signs were used reported a
24 heightened perception of safety due to the lowered speed limit. The experiment also showed that
25 the use of an educational campaign produced only minimal reduction in average speeds, or non
26 statistical significant reductions. As a result of the pilot projects, both cities expanded the 25 mph
27 speed limit to all local streets in their respective cities.

28 29 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

30
31 The authors acknowledge the kind assistance provided by Councilwoman Barbara Hoppe,
32 PedNet President Ian Thomas and city engineer Scott Bitterman from the City of Columbia,
33 Missouri and Beverly Beuerlein at the City of Springfield.

34 35 **REFERENCES**

- 36 (1) National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHSTA). *Fatality Analysis Reporting*
37 *System Encyclopedia*.. <http://www-fars.nhtsa.dot.gov/Main/index.aspx>. Accessed April 29,
38 2010.
- 39 (2) FHWA. *Road Function Classification*. USDOT. FHWA Safety. Washington, D.C.
40 November, 2000.
- 41 (3) Stone, R. *Residential Street Speed Limit Report*. City of Columbia, Missouri, 2007.
- 42 (4) FHWA *Safe Routes To School Programs* USDOT. FHWA Safety. Washington, D.C.
43 August, 2005. <http://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/saferoutes/>. Accessed July 18, 2010.
- 44 (5) Dellinger, A.M. *Barriers to Children Walking and Biking to School*. National Center for
45 Injury Prevention and Control. Department of Health and Human Services, Atlanta 1999.

Rossy, G., Sun, C., Jessen, D. and Newman, E.

- 1 (6) Taylor, M., D. Lynam and A. Baruya. *The Effects of Drivers Speed On The Frequency Of*
2 *Road Accidents*. Report Number 421. Transportation Research Laboratory. United
3 Kingdom, 2000.
- 4 (7) Turner-Fairbank Highway Research Center. *Effects of Raising and Lowering Speed Limits*.
5 Publication FHWA-RD-92-084. Federal Highway Administration (FHWA), U.S.
6 Department of Transportation, 1992.
- 7 (8) Newman, E. Rountree Neighborhood 25 mph Speed Limit Pilot Project. Presented to the
8 Springfield City Council, Springfield, Missouri, 2006.
- 9 (9) Federal Highway Administration . *Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices*. Federal
10 Highway Administration (FHWA), U.S. Department of Transportation. Washington, D.C.
11 2003.
- 12 (10) National Institute of Standards and Technology. *Engineering Statistics Handbook*.
13 Gaithersburg, Maryland, 2010.

14

15

16

1 **TABLE 1 Summary of Statistical Tests Performed on Springfield Data**

Neighbor-hood	Street	Direction and Location	Δ Ave. Spd. (kph/mph)	Std. Dev. Diff.	p value	Stat. Sig.?	Δ 85% Speed (kph/mph)	% Δ Ave. Spd.
Rountree	Delaware	SB, S of Madison	1.56/ 0.97	0.12	3.62E-35	Yes	1.61/ 1.00	3.49
Rountree	Delaware	SB, S of Madison	-0.80/ -0.50	0.28	large	No	-1.61/ -1.00	-1.82
Rountree	Delaware	SB, S of Madison	0.51/ 0.32	0.23	1.37E-03	Yes	-0.805/ -0.50	1.10
Rountree	Delaware	SB, S of Monroe	1.90/ 1.18	-0.33	1.68E-18	Yes	1.08/ 0.67	4.29
Rountree	Freemont	NB, S of Madison	1.22/ 0.76	1.94	2.47E-35	Yes	2.29/ 1.42	2.49
Rountree	Freemont	SB, S of Madison	0.47/ 0.29	0.32	1.618E-10	Yes	0.451/ 0.28	0.93
Rountree	Freemont	NB, S of Monroe	-1.45/ -0.90	0.32	large	No	-1.29/ -0.80	-3.08
Rountree	Freemont	SB, S of Monroe	-0.71/ -0.44	0.13	large	No	-0.451/ -0.28	-1.49
Rountree	Kickapoo	NB, S of Madison	2.01/ 1.25	-0.14	2.05E-144	Yes	2.33/ 1.45	4.40
Rountree	Kickapoo	SB, S of Madison	2.53/ 1.57	-0.11	0	Yes	2.69/ 1.67	5.38
Rountree	Kickapoo	NB, S of Monroe	3.28/ 2.04	-0.25	6.02E-186	Yes	3.56/ 2.21	6.92
Rountree	Kickapoo	SB, S of Monroe	2.80/ 1.74	-0.38	4.20E-175	Yes	1.95/ 1.21	5.78
Rountree	Pickwick	NB, S of Madison	3.91/ 2.43	0.29	1.30E-150	Yes	3.70/ 2.30	8.49
Rountree	Pickwick	SB, S of Madison	3.56/ 2.21	0.05	5.87E-218	Yes	3.17/ 1.97	7.63
Rountree	Pickwick	NB, S of Monroe	6.20/ 3.85	0.20	0	Yes	5.86/ 3.64	13.41
Rountree	Pickwick	SB, S of Monroe	6.47/ 4.02	0.19	0	Yes	6.74/ 4.19	13.48
Rountree	Weller	NB, S of Madison	1.26/ 0.78	-0.14	1.07E-19	Yes	0.708/ 0.44	3.07
Rountree	Weller	SB, S of Madison	0.69/ 0.43	0.01	2.96E-07	Yes	0.386/ 0.24	1.62
Rountree	Weller	NB, S of Monroe	2.24/ 1.39	-0.59	6.74E-35	Yes	0.885/ 0.55	5.43
Rountree	Weller	SB, S of Monroe	0.66/ 0.41	-0.10	4.23E-06	Yes	-0.805/ -0.50	1.60

2

3

4

1 **TABLE 2 Summary of Statistical Tests Performed on Columbia Data**

Neighbor- hood	Street	Direction /Study	Δ Ave. Spd. (kph/mph)	Std. Dev. Diff.	p value	Stat. Sig.?	Δ 85% Speed (kph/mph)	% Δ Ave. Spd.
Rothwell	Faurot	WB	4.51/ 2.80	-2.00	0.00108	Yes	0.00/ 0.00	10.3
Rothwell	Rothwell	SB	9.99/ 6.21	1.20	4.020E-47	Yes	11.3/ 7	16.6
Shepard	Audubon	NB	1.61/ 1.00	-0.08	3.60E-09	Yes	1.61/ 1	3.39
Shepard	Falcon	SB	7.00/ 4.35	3.56	2.27E-06	Yes	14.5/ 9.00	13.9
Shepard	Audubon	Educational Campaign	1.08/ 0.67	-1.43	2.74E-10	Yes	0.805/ 0.50	2.35
Shepard	Falcon	Educational Campaign	2.82/ 1.75	-2.37	0.0541	No	0.00/ 0.00	6.50

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1 **TABLE 3 Summary of Answers to the Survey Administered to Residents in Columbia, MO**

Survey question	Percent of answers by neighborhood		
		Shepard	Rothwell
Are you aware that your neighborhood speed limit was reduced from 30 mph down to 25mph?	Yes	93%	50%
	No	7%	50%
How long have you been a resident in this neighborhood?	0-10 years	55%	35%
	11-20 years	26%	20%
	21-30 years	7%	8%
	31-40 years	9%	35%
	More than 40 years	3%	2%
Because the posted speed limits were reduced for the streets in your neighborhood, are you now (<u>answer from list at right</u>) to walk around the neighborhood?	Much more inclined	14.3%	2.5%
	More inclined	35.7%	17.5%
	Less inclined	0.0%	5.0%
	Much less inclined	0.0%	0.0%
	Makes no difference	50.0%	65.0%
	No answer	0.0%	10.0%
Because the posted speed limits were reduced for the streets in your neighborhood, do you now think that most vehicles travel:	Significantly under the speed limit	0.0%	0%
	Under the speed limit	2.4%	2.5%
	At the posted speed limits	52.4%	7.5%
	Over the speed limit	42.8%	62.5%
	Significantly over the speed limit	0%	20.0%
Because the posted speed limits were reduced for the streets in your neighborhood, how safe do you feel now walking in your neighborhood?	Very unsafe	0.0%	7.5%
	Unsafe	0.0%	17.5%
	Normal	40.5%	50.0%
	Safe	47.6%	12.5%
	Very safe	11.9%	7.5%
	No answer	0.0%	5.0%
Because the posted speed limits were reduced for the streets in your neighborhood, how safe do you feel now bicycling in your neighborhood?	Very unsafe	0.0%	10.0%
	Unsafe	0.0%	15.0%
	Normal	45.2%	45.0%
	Safe	21.4%	10.0%
	Very safe	14.3%	2.5%
	No answer	19.1%	17.5%
How often do you use the car?	Few times a week	4.8%	10.0%
	Daily	95.2%	90.0%